

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,717	05/04/2001	Leonid N. Shekhter	6160-1P57	9644
26486	7590 06/05/2002			
PERKINS, SMITH & COHEN LLP ONE BEACON STREET 30TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			WYSZOMIERSKI, GEORGE P	
BOSTON, MA 02108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	7
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	_		· VEフ			
•		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/849,717	GOLDBERG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		George P Wyszomierski	1742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the carrespondence address Period for Reply						
A SHOI THE M/ - Extensi after St) - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure - Any rep earned	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of reply specified above is less than thirty (30) carried for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejication. Jays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT I, by statute, cause the application to become ABA the mailing date of this communication, even if tire	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed	I on				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ C	laim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the ap	plication.				
48	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.				
5)□ C	laim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 C	laim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-49</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application	n Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	All b) Some * c) None of:		·			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	0-948) 5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/849,717

Art Unit: 1742

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-18 and 21-23, drawn to a process, classified in class 75,
 subclass 343+.
 - II. Claims 19, 20, and 24-49, drawn to products, classified in various subclasses in classes 148, 252, 420, and 501.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different products or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another, materially different process, such as by a vapor deposition process.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election of Species

4. In the event that Applicant elects to prosecute the invention of Group II above, the following election of species is also required.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,717

Art Unit: 1742

5. Claims 19, 20, 24, 25, 29-31, 36-40, 43, 48, and 49 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

A) Embodiments including a powder comprising a refractory metal, valve metal, refractory metal alloy, or valve metal alloy, as exemplified by instant claims 26-28, 32, 32, 34, 35, 41, and 44-47.

- B) Embodiments including a powder comprising a refractory metal oxide or valve metal oxide, as exemplified by instant claims 33 and 42.
- 6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/849,717

Art Unit: 1742

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Wyszomierski whose telephone number is (703) 308-2531. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on (703) 308-1146. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310. The Right fax number for this examiner is (703) 872-9039. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

GEORGE WYSZOMIERSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER

GPW June 3, 2002